Case 01-01139-AMC Doc 17850 Filed 01/10/08 Page 1 of 21

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

IN RE:

PITTSBURGH CORNING CORP., . Case No. 00-22876 (JKF)

FEDERAL-MOGUL GLOBAL, INC., . Case No. 01-10578 (JKF)

W.R. GRACE & CO., . Case No. 01-1139 (JKF)

ACandS . Case No. 02-12687 (JKF)

THE FLINTKOTE CO./ . Case No. 04-11300 (JKF)

FLINTKOTE MINES

Debtors. . 5414 USX Tower Building

. Pittsburgh, PA 15222

. January 10, 2008

. . . . 9:19 a.m.

TRANSCRIPT OF OMNIBUS HEARING
BEFORE HONORABLE JUDITH K. FITZGERALD
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For the Debtors: Reed Smith

By: JAMES J. RESTIVO, JR., ESQ.

DAVID ZIEGLER, ESQ. 435 Sixth Avenue

Pittsburgh, PA 15219

Audio Operator: Cathy Younker

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript produced by transcription service.

J&J COURT TRANSCRIBERS, INC. 268 Evergreen Avenue Hamilton, New Jersey 08619 E-mail: jjcourt@optonline.net

(609) 586-2311 Fax No. (609) 587-3599

2.

APPEARANCES (Cont'd):

For Owens Corning:

Thorp Reed & Armstrong, LLP
By: ELENE M. MORAN, ESQ.
KIMBERLY WAKIM, ESQ.
One Oxford Centre
301 Grant Street
14th Floor
Pittsburgh, PA 15219

For Official Committee of Asbestos Personal Injury Claimants:

Campbell & Levine, LLC
By: PHILIP MILCH, ESQ.
DAVID SALZMAN, ESQ.
1700 Grant Building
Pittsburgh, PA 15219

For Official Committee of Asbestos Personal Injury Claimants:

Caplin and Drysdale

By: PETER VAN N. LOCKWOOD, ESQ. One Thomas Circle, N.W.

Washington, DC 20005

For the Asbestos Committee:

Campbell & Levine, LLC By: MARK HURFORD, ESQ. Chase Manhattan Centre

1201 N. Market St., 15th Floor Wilmington, Delaware 19801

For Futures Rep.:

Young Conaway Stargatt & Taylor By: EDWIN HARRON, ESQ.

MARIBETH L. MINELLA, ESQ.

1000 West Street, 17th Floor

Wilmington, DE 19801

Meyer, Unkovic and Scott By: JOEL M. HELMRICH, ESQ.

1300 Oliver Building

Pittsburgh, PA 15222-2304

APPEARANCES (Cont'd):

Quinn, Motley Rice, Provost Umphrey and and Bagett, McCaill, Burgess, Watson and Gaughan:

For Reaud, Morgan and Stutzman, Bromberg, Esserman and

Plifka

By: SANDER L. ESSERMAN, ESQ. DAVID J. PARSONS, ESQ. 2323 Bryan Street, Suite 2200

Dallas, TX 75201

For Official Committee of Unsecured Trade Creditors:

Leech Tishman Fuscaldo & Lampl By: CRYSTAL THORNTON-ILLAR ESQ.

1800 Frick Building Pittsburgh, PA 15219

For Interested Party:

Latham & Watkins

By: KATHERINE MAYER, ESO. 505 Montgomery St., Suite 2000

San Francisco, CA 94111

For Certain Underwriters Duane Morris, LLP

of Lloyd's London:

By: JEFFREY D. KAHANE, ESQ. 633 West Fifth Street, Ste. 4600

Los Angeles, CA 90071

For Royal Indemnity and

Global Indemnity:

Wilson Elser Moskowitz Edelman

& Dicker, LLP

By: CARL PERNICONE, ESQ.

3 Gannett Drive

White Plains, NY 10604

For the Creditors Committee:

Morris, Nichols, Arsht & Tunnell

By: WILLIAM SUDELL, ESO. 1201 N. Market Street

Wilmington, Delaware 19801

For the U.S. Trustee:

Office of the U.S. Trustee By: DAVID M. KLAUDER, ESQ.

601 Walnut Street

Room 950W

Philadelphia, PA 19106

_

APPEARANCES (Cont'd):

For PPG:

Kirkpatrick & Lockhart, LLP
By: DAVID A. MURDOCH, ESQ.
 DAVID F. McGONIGLE, ESQ.
Henry W. Oliver Building
535 Smithfield Street
Pittsburgh, PA 15228

1	THE COURT: Good morning, please be seated. This is
2	the matter of Pittsburgh Corning Corporation, bankruptcy number
3	00-22876, and a number of other cases. The participants I have
4	listed by phone, James Wehner, Peter Janofski, Jordon Bracket,
5	Mark Herford, Michael Olsen, Robert Siegal, James Dennis, Janes
6	Baer, Sandra Esserman, David Parsons, Web Aarons, Mary Martin,
7	Jeffrey Berger, Gary Nelson, Michael Balch, Philip Milch,
8	Jeffrey Kahane, Michael Brown, Dennis Dolan, Natalie Ramsey,
9	Steve Vocarro, Mel Burnham, Robert Goodman, Leonard Bellinger,
10	Sheryl Heller, Michael Buckley, Joseph Niece, Michael Barrocks
11	Arlene Krieger, Peter Lockwood and Edwin Herron.
12	I'll take entries in Court, please. Good morning.
13	MR. RESTIVO: Good morning and Happy New Year, Your
14	Honor.
15	THE COURT: Thank you. Same to you.
16	MR. RESTIVO: Jim Restivo and David Ziegler for the
17	debtor.
18	MS. THORTON-Illa: Crystal Thorton-Illa on behalf of
19	the Official Committee of Unsecured Trade Creditors.
20	MR. HELMRICH: Good morning, Your Honor. Joel
21	Helmrich on behalf of Lawrence Fitzpatrick, the future claims
22	representative.
23	MR. SALZMAN: Good morning, Your Honor. David

MR. MURDOCH: Good morning, Your Honor. David

24 Salzman on behalf of the ACC.

25

1 Murdoch, David McGonigle and Mike Nelson on behalf of PPG Industries Inc.

MS. WAKIM: Good morning, Your Honor. Kimberly Wakim 4 and Elena Moran on behalf of Corning Inc. with Sheryl Heller on the phone on behalf of Corning Inc.

MR. SHINER: Good morning, Your Honor, Michael Shiner for certain underwriters at Lloyds of London and certain London marketing insurers.

THE COURT: Mr. Restivo.

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

19

20

22 II

MR. RESTIVO: Your Honor, we have a notice of agenda on matters for this omnibus hearing. The first five matters 12∥are either adjourned, continued or withdrawn. Mr. Ziegler will 13∥deal with Item Number 6 relating to the appointment of an 14 examiner. Thereafter, Your Honor, the plan proponents and supporters want to give the Court what may be a somewhat $16\parallel$ positive status report. We think we ought to deal with the Turcini matter first because there are some people on the phone 18 or interested on that.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Ziegler.

MR. ZIEGLER: Your Honor, I don't know if there is anyone on the phone that has more direct knowledge than I do. I saw a new order indicating that Judge Schiff has directed the 23 U.S. Trustee for Connecticut to appoint a Chapter 11 examiner in the LTC case which I think, we would think would eliminate the need to have an examiner appointed in the various asbestos

debtor cases. I don't know if anyone on the phone who has, as 2 I said, more direct knowledge of what happened there. 3 would like them to speak up if they do.

MR. KLAUDER: Your Honor, this is David Klauder for United States Trustee, if I may be heard on that issue.

THE COURT: Yes, sir.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

17

20

25

MR. KLAUDER: I wasn't announced on the court call list but I did sign up through them.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. KLAUDER: What happened on Tuesday, if Your Honor recalls, we had a number of matters in front of Judge Schiff 12∥ in that Turcini case that we scheduled for Tuesday. 13 included the U.S. Trustee's motion to convert the case, our 14 motion for relief from stay and a number of asbestos debtors who are creditors in that case filed a motion to appoint a 16 Chapter 11 trustee.

Judge Schiff was not willing to hear those on 18 | Tuesday, somewhat not surprisingly. He indicated that he would set up all the matters for evidentiary hearing and enter a pretrial order. Subsequently to that, the parties began to talk outside of Court. And all the parties including the Turcini debtor agreed that there should be the appointment of 23 an examiner in that case. And the examiner would conduct an investigation that would include what was contemplated in the cases in front of Your Honor.

5

6

7

10

11

15

18

19

20

21

22 **I**

24

25

My understanding is -- well I know that that order 2 has not been entered. I just checked the docket recently. 3 understanding is that the parties are still working out the language of that order, but it should be presented to the judge shortly. And it is the belief of the parties that the Court will sign that order.

So that is what is happening up in Connecticut. $8 \parallel$ regard to the orders that are in front of Your Honor, our position would be to kind of keep the status quo. Your Honor has been clear that those orders, the examiner orders, are stayed. We understand that and we are not acting on those. We do believe that once the examiner order is entered up in Connecticut and we appoint an examiner up in Connecticut, it will take care of the orders in front of Your Honor.

But at this point, we would say keep those orders in place, maybe have another, you know, schedule another 30 day status conference type thing and hopefully things will completely resolve themselves in Connecticut in that time.

THE COURT: All right. That sounds fine. When is the next hearing in this case, Mr. Ziegler?

MR. ZIEGLER: I was afraid you were going to ask that, Your Honor. Normally I have it in my book but since we didn't have a binder this month, I don't have that schedule with me.

> THE COURT: February 15th? How is February 15th?

8

9

11

15

19

20

MR. KLAUDER: David Klauder. That is fine, Your 2 Honor. I do have one question though. I'm not sure if Your $3 \parallel$ Honor -- we took the position at the last hearing that no one $4 \parallel$ had filed a motion to vacate the orders. I don't know how Your Honor feels about that, if motions should be filed in that regard or how you want to deal with that issue. If everybody agrees or if it seems appropriate that the order should be vacated or what have you.

THE COURT: Well, I think if all I'm doing is staying them until February 15th, why don't we deal with it then? Let's find out for sure that an order has in fact been entered in the Connecticut cases that appoints an examiner and that it includes the scope that your office has been asking for in these cases to make sure that the examiner is, in fact, going to basically exercise the same type of responsibility to ferret out what happened in the Turcini series of cases. And if, in fact, it does include that scope I think my order probably is 18 moot.

I don't mind vacating it on my own without some party asking me for it, for that to happen if, in fact, there is no point to my order being carried out. So why don't we just address it then. If there is still some need for it, then you can advise me of what your position is at that time Mr. Klauder. But as long as I'm just keeping them stayed, I don't see why I need any further pleadings by anybody.

3

4

5

6

8

9

12

16

19

23

10 MR. KLAUDER: Okay. I'm sorry it was February 15th. Did you say the time of that hearing? 2 What time, Ms. Wakim? THE COURT: One o'clock. MS. WAKIM: THE COURT: February 15th at one o'clock eastern. And again everyone can call in obviously so all by court call. Ms. Baer, are you on the phone? MS. BAER: Yes, Your Honor, I am. THE COURT: Okay, I have a question about Grace while we're on the phone. Is anything going on on Tuesday this coming week in Grace? 11 MS. BAER: No. Tuesday is an off day. The parties 13 were not available to have -- certain parties were not 14 available to have trial that day. So we have Monday and 15 Wednesday but Tuesday is an off day. THE COURT: All right. I just wanted to confirm that 17 \parallel so that we can -- I just have a technical issue so that's fine. $18 \parallel \text{I}$ just wanted to verify that that was the case. Thank you.

MS. BAER: Your Honor, just so you know, we also when 20 we sent out our final agenda of the hearing, we noted that on the final agenda so that there was no confusion with court call 22 and the parties.

THE COURT: Yes, I noted that on the agenda but my 24 court security staff was just asking and I just wanted to 25∥ verify. So I said I would ask and since I saw your name on the

list, I rather than placing another call I just thought I'd ask. So thank you and I appreciate the information.

Okay, anybody have anything further with respect to Item 6 then? All right, it's continued to February 15th at one and anyone who is only interested in that item is free to disconnect or leave. Thank you.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Restivo.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

20

MR. RESTIVO: Your Honor, the plan proponents and supporters have asked Mr. McGonigle to give a status report to the Court on behalf of the group and then on behalf of the debtor, a general status report to the Court and then on behalf of the debtor I'm going to give a specific response.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. McGonigle. Good morning.

MR. MCGONIGLE: Good morning, Your Honor. In view of 16 the Court's comments at the December 10, 2007 omnibus hearing regarding the status of the Court's work on the various motions for reconsideration which are listed on today's hearing agenda at Item Number 2, the parties believe that a status report is in order. Making this report I'm speaking on behalf of all the plan proponents and supporters. In sum, the plan proponents and supporters are pleased to report substantial progress for the third amended plan of reorganization. Various parties in interest have been working on revised plan documents and the parties anticipate further progress along those lines in the

coming weeks.

1

2

5

8

9

11

15

18

21

24

We anticipate that the third amended plan would be 3 responsive to concerns raised in Your Honor's December 21, 2006 4 confirmation opinion. In view of the progress we have made, Your Honor, the plan proponents and supporters believe that it would be unnecessary for the Court to proceed further with its opinions on the motions for reconsideration.

We anticipate that the third amended plan if filed as contemplated by the parties will address the issues Your Honor 10 | has been considering. Accordingly, we request that Your Honor continue the motions for reconsideration through the February 12∥15th omnibus. And that Your Honor require a status report from 13∥ the parties regarding progress on a third amended plan at that 14 hearing.

Thank you, Your Honor, for your consideration of this 16 request. We believe that submission of a third amended plan if finally approved by the parties would be a favorable development for all constituencies in this case. We urge Your Honor to act favorably upon the parties' suggestion. 20 you.

THE COURT: My staff may kill me if I grant this 22∥ request at this time. I may not be here February 15th at this 23 point in time.

MR. MCGONIGLE: Your Honor referred to the Court's 25∥ security staff. Perhaps it can put them on detail to protect you.

1

2

4

5

11

12

15

19

20

22

24

25

THE COURT: Maybe I better press the button right 3 now. Okay, Mr. Restivo.

MR. RESTIVO: Your Honor, as I believe the Court well knows the debtor is 100 percent supportive of the concept of a third amended plan of reorganization that takes care of any issues that have been raised. We are fully supportive of the 8 idea that at the present time a ruling by the Court on the motion for reconsideration would not be helpful because the parties appear to be making good progress and don't need an external event to mess up their thinking.

However, Your Honor, as the Court knows supporting 13 parties include 40 to 50 supporting insurers and there have been discussions by various parties with those insurers, all of which is necessary. There has been work on revised plan documents supporting Mr. McGonigle. The debtor, while being fully supportive of this process needs to see those documents now so that we can weigh in, make sure everything is correct, identify any issues and therefore we would like the Court to urge, encourage or order that the debtor be given copies of any revised plan documents that have been shared. thought, it's a process of where one of 45 insurers in mediation can stand up and say wait a second, this is mediation and everything is confidential.

We think, we understand, this has gone far enough

5

6

7

8

9

11

15

16

18

20

23

24

that there are now revised plan documents in circulation. just think it would be more helpful for the process that the 3 major plan proponent, mainly the debtor, see these documents 4 now and identify if there are any issues that need to be dealt with so this can move quickly.

THE COURT: Okay, is there some objection to the debtor seeing revised plan documents at this time?

MR. MCGONIGLE: Your Honor, David McGonigle. speaking for PPG. What I had -- the report I had given to Your 10 Honor was on behalf of all the plan proponents and supporters. Your Honor, I'm not aware of any objection in that regard. 12∥are moving very much in real time on this process. understand the importance of the debtors receiving and commenting upon and offering input on the various planning documents as has happened on the first amended plan and the second amended plan and the original plan. It is fully our 17 intent.

We are working hard. In fact we have a call today at 1:00 p.m. with the mediator and all the various insurers on the PPG side at which I intend to raise the issue and request for the promptest possible forwarding of all the documents that we've been working on to the debtor and the other parties in interest.

As Your Honor will no doubt understand the plan 25∥ process and the negotiation process in our side involves a

1 number of sensitive issues with respect to all the various 2 parties involved, insurers, probably traded companies, various 3 parties in interest that are trying to work toward a consensual 4 plan and do so while the negotiations are still subject to final approval by their various managements. We will endeavor $6\parallel$ to have the documents in the debtor's hand at the earliest possible moment. I am not aware at this point in time whether there are objections or not because the request is something that we just discussed this morning in the courtroom before 10 this hearing, Your Honor.

So we are supportive of the debtor's view of 12 receiving the documents as soon as possible. I'm just not in the position right now to predict precisely when that approval will happen, although of course it will happen Your Honor.

THE COURT: Well --

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

MR. LOCKWOOD: Your Honor, this is Peter Lockwood.

THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Lockwood, just a second. We're having that sound problem again. Cathy, do you know what to do about that? Is that what happened this morning earlier?

MS. YOUNKER: That's what happened earlier.

THE COURT: Now it's happening again? Mr. Lockwood, 22 you are not on a speaker phone are you?

MR. LOCKWOOD: No, I'm not Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay, just a second. I'm sorry. 25∥ going to have to cal the system staff. I don't know why we're

having this problem repetitiously again. Just one minute. (Judge speaking with system staff)

MR. LOCKWOOD: Is that better?

1

2

3

4

5

6

15

18

20

THE COURT: Okay, yes, Mr. Lockwood. Go ahead, please.

MR. LOCKWOOD: Your Honor, I have a brief remark which is that Mr. Restivo I think is making an assumption not 8 warranted by the fact which is that there are plan documents $9 \parallel$ available to be distributed to the debtor. The fact of the 10 matter is that the parties who are directly affected by the proposed changes in the third amended plan which in fact really 12∥other than the desire to get it confirmed don't directly relate 13 to the debtor at all, have been having discussions among 14 themselves about term. But to date there have been no documents circulated among the ACC, the FCR, PPG and Corning. And, therefore, there is really nothing that I'm aware of that 17 can be given to the debtor.

Certainly the debtors will have an opportunity to comment on plan documents before anybody starts submitting them to the Court or anything else. And I agree with Mr. McGonigle which is at this moment and time I haven't, for example, seen the first piece of paper beyond some term sheet type of documentation. There's really nothing to circulate to the debtor. Nobody is trying to, you know, keep the debtor out of 25 the loop here.

MR. RESTIVO: Might I respond, Your Honor to Mr. Lockwood's comments?

THE COURT: Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

10

11

12

19

20

MR. RESTIVO: As I understood Mr. McGonigle's comments, I believe that there have been documents circulated vis a vis some of the insurance issues, at least on the PPG side, possibly on the Corning side. With respect to those 8 insurance issues the debtor has claims of hundreds of millions of dollars of insurance with the same insurers. And the debtor has been part of the mediation and thus is covered by the mediation privilege.

So independent of whether there has been any sharing 13∥ of documents with the ACC which I'm sure we will see in appropriate times, to the extent there is changes and what the debtor was doing with respect to those claims of insurance. 16 From the current plan to the third amended plan, obviously the debtor on behalf of the estate needs to know what that is and needs to determine if there is any issues or anything we need to talk about.

So Mr. Lockwood, to the extent nothing has been shared with the ACC as to financial terms or there is no pieces of paper, fair enough. We can't say that. My sense is that with respect to insurance and insurance policies covering this debtor I believe there have been documents been exchanged and I think it's now time for the debtor to see them.

MS. HELLER: Your Honor, this is Sheryl Heller. May I be heard?

THE COURT: Just a minute Ms. Heller. Roy.

(Discussion with system support)

THE COURT: Go ahead, Ms. Heller.

1

2

3

4

5

6

11

13

19

24

25

MS. HELLER: Your Honor, on Corning's behalf I just want to make it clear to the Court and to the debtor that 8 Corning has received no documents as of yet. It's my understanding that the documents that are being worked on are being worked on in the context of the PPG mediation. So with respect to any insurance issues, Corning is not privy to any 12 documents as of yet.

THE COURT: Okay. I think the issue is making sure 14 that the debtor gets prompt notice regardless of who is working 15∥on what. Because unless you folks are trying to do a plan that circumvents the debtor, and I don't understand that anybody at this point is trying to do that, then the debtor obviously has 18 to be involved in the plan.

So prompt is the good word. And timely is another 20 good word. So prompt and timely disclosure to the debtor so that the debtor has a fair opportunity to get involved in the process and that's not just to be involved at the end of the process, but to make sure that there is a meaningful opportunity to be involved.

So Mr. McGonigle, you've got a phone call this

afternoon. To the best of your ability, if you can get agreement to share those documents with the debtor, you know, forthwith I think would be another good term to be used. And to the extent that Corning doesn't have them yet if there are changes in the Corning documents, if and when they are produced they should also be shared as soon as they are available with the debtor. And likewise when financial terms are involved if there are any changes they also ought to be shared with all of the constituents who are plan proponents.

I don't know how you are going to be a plan proponent without sharing the documents and signing up to plan proponent.

MR. MCGONIGLE: Your Honor, if I may. It's a bit, I suppose as if no good deed goes unpunished. If I may from PPG's perspective explain what we were doing. As I mentioned, we are moving very much in real time. And substantial progress has been made. In an effort to save all parties unnecessary work to try to move the process along, we've had our people burning late hours, working feverishly to produce things to share with everybody. So our effort was not to hide anything from the debtor, hide anything from Corning, hide anything from ACC, hide anything from the FCR.

Our effort was to decide somebody needed to take a first stab at carrying the laboring war on this for all the constituencies. We've been doing that, Your Honor, obviously towards the goal of at the very earliest possible moments consistent with our mediation confidentiality requirements of sharing those documents around with the group.

2

3

5

8

9

10

13

16

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

And Your Honor we will, of course, at the very earliest possible moment share those documents. I would say that Mr. Geronemus has done a fantastic job on this mediation throughout its period of helping us sequence when certain things should happen to whom, with whom, and when. And so Your Honor I would urge that we defer to his judgment and to the judgment of the carriers, but I will commit to ask to produce those documents at the very earliest possible moment.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Restivo I hope for now that's good enough. This Court is obviously going to be here for the rest next of my life involved in the Grace trial so if you need something, you know my phone number and probably my smiling face here in the courtroom. So you can get me. To the extent that you've got some issue that needs to be -- that you need ruling on. If there is a need for that.

MR. MCGONIGLE: We hope to keep you smiling, Your 17 Honor.

MR. RESTIVO: I'm assuming Mr. McGonigle will share on 19 his call this afternoon what the Court said in terms of prompt, timely, expeditious so that they can take that into account in answering your request.

MR. MCGONIGLE: I will share that and I believe almost every participant on that one o'clock call is probably on the phone right now. Thank you, Your Honor.

Okay. Item 2 is continued to February 15 for status conference and the Court will not issue an opinion on the motions for reconsideration pending that hearing.

MR. MCGONIGLE: For the plan proponents and 2 supporters, Your Honor, thank you.

1

3

5

8

MR. RESTIVO: I believe that is all we have, Your 4 Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Anything else? Any other 6 matters to address today? Okay, we're adjourned. Thank you. 7 Thank Mr. Geronemus. We're adjourned, thank you.

CERTIFICATION

I, LYNN SCHMITZ, court approved transcriber, certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the official electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the aboveentitled matter to the best of my ability.

/s/ Lynn Schmitz Date: January 16, 2008 LYNN SCHMITZ J&J COURT TRANSCRIBERS, INC.